

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/442,187	7 11/16/99	ROYSDEN		В	
		MM91/0117	\neg	EXAMINER	
BRUNN W RO	YSDEN JR	1442170117		CONE,D	
SUITE 116				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4250 E CAMELBACK PHOENIX AZ 85018				2854	
				DATE MAILED:	01/17/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Application No.

09/442,187

Applica

Roysden, Jr.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Darius Cone

Group Art Unit 2854



Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug 2, 2000</u>			
This action is FINAL .			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	J.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to estimate sometimes in the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims	in large panding in the application		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration		
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
	is/are rejected.		
	is/are objected to.		
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election require			
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I The drawing(s) filed on is/are objects	Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved □ disapproved.		
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the			
received.			
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	per)		
received in this national stage application from the Ir			
*Certified copies not received:			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s)			
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	(-)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(S).		
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	3		
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	•		
Notice of informal Fatent Application, 1.0 102			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	HE FOLLOWING PAGES		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 7-9 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75© as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 7-9 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roysden, Jr (US patent #5,575,576).

With respect to claims 1-9, the previous Office Action mailed 3-2-00 is incorporated by reference herein.

With respect to claims 1,2 & 6, Roysden Jr. teaches a keyboard section structure (Fig. 4) including a plurality of keys (Fig. 3). Roysden Jr. also teaches of a key cap (20) working in conduction with a switch means (28) these keys being included in a Bounding Key Set (see, col. 17, lines 5-13). Roysden, Jr. teaches of the spaces or sections included between the keys and the spaces which are defined in the bounded key set, which include a section key space, a bounding

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/442,187

Art Unit: 2854

section key space, a section capless key space and a bounding key set capless space (see col.15, lines 7-22, col. 17, lines 30-61). The undepressed key space and section undepressed key capless key space would be an obvious choice of design, due to the fact that any key the is not activated in its area or space would be considered undepressed, allowing for there to be space or lack thereof for any material or other components other than keyboard comments to operate.

With respect to claims 3, 4 & 5, it would be an obvious choice of design to include "interleaved" components or other circuitry with a designated undepressed key space (see Roysden, Jr. col. 13, lines 1-18).

Conclusion

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8-2-00 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the patent to Roysden, Jr. does not teach the interleaving of components, nor the placement or structure of these components relative to the invention. Applicant also states the Examiner has based his rejection on hindsight. Clearly Roysden, Jr. teaches all the structure of the claimed invention, stating in col. 10, lines 17-21 that it is possible to incorporate two or more of the components of a keyboard into one unit. Examiner believes that it is obvious to include any type of component, whether plastic or electric in areas of the structure designated by the inventor. The selection of a known component would involve an obvious selection of circuitry known to be used in a comparable apparatus based on its known properties. In the case of the applicant's

Application/Control Number: 09/442,187

Art Unit: 2854

invention a circuit board or other circuitry receiving air through vents or spaces in the structure to allow for cooling would be an obvious choice of design based on experimentation.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Darius Cone whose telephone number is (703) 308-1061. The examiner can normally be reached daily between the hours of 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hilten, can be reached on (703) 308-0719. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-5841.

Art Unit: 2854

Any inquire of a general nature or relating to the status of the application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DNC

January 11, 2001

JOHN S. HILTEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800